ONEIDA NATION OF WISCONSIN

Contact Information

James L. Snitgen, Water Resources Team Leader Oneida Nation of Wisconsin, Environmental, Health and Safety Department P.O. Box 365, 3759 W. Mason Street ■ Oneida, WI 54155

Phone 920/497-5812 ■ Fax 920/496-7883 email: jsnitgen@oneidanation.org website: http://www.oneidanation.org



3-219

Program Description

<u>Objectives</u>
The Oneida Tribe's current and future uses of information gathered using bioassessment include protection, restoration, assessing impacts, monitoring changes, as well as driving policy and promoting knowledge and appreciation of aquatic resources.

Although there had been some invertebrate and fish surveys performed on the Reservation over the last twenty years or so, the development of a formal biological monitoring program was initiated in 2000. Tri-annual fishery surveys at established monitoring sites have been performed since 1997. In 1999, the Tribe began sampling invertebrate communities and immediately began using the findings as tools. An onsite aquatic invertebrate taxonomy laboratory was also established in 1999 and equipped with scopes, literature, drying oven, hood, etc. In 2000, qualitative sampling of invertebrates was performed at five stream sites and a quantitative study of one lake was initiated to determine the effectiveness of BMPs in the surrounding basin. In the meantime, SOPs were developed for qualitative and quantitative methods for lakes and wadeable streams and metrics were researched and tested. Contracts were set up for the picking and sorting of invertebrate samples (UW-Superior) and for toxicity testing (Environmental Consulting and Testing) of certain waterbodies. In 2001, quantitative samples were collected at three stream sites and the lake, as well as three more sites being sampled qualitatively. Stream types have not been formalized, but four reference sites have been established:

- Thornberry Creek (at forest Drive), a first order cold water system, exhibiting "pristine" conditions during 1999 and 2000.
- Trout Creek (at County FF), a 3rd order cold water system, exhibiting "good" to "very good" conditions.
 Oneida Creek (at VanBoxtel Road), a 3rd order cool water system, exhibiting "good" conditions in 2000. A very rare fingernet caddisfly, *Wormaldia moesta*, known to occur only in "small, cold, rapid streams" has been collected at this site.
- 4. **Duck Creek** (at Seminary Road), a 4th order warm water system, the largest stream on the Reservation. The water quality and invertebrate community represent "good" conditions. The same stream is in "poor" condition before entering the Reservation from the south near the Town of Freedom.

The streams at these sites represent the reference conditions for all stream types on the Reservation. In 2002, qualitative or quantitative sampling will be conducted at approximately 30 invertebrate sites and mid-summer fish IBIs will be conducted at eleven sites

Setting/Land Use

The entire Reservation, covering approximately 64,500 acres, is in the Southeastern Wisconsin Till Plains ecoregion (Omernick 1987). At this time, the main sources of impairment are sedimentation (construction and agriculture) and nutrients (agriculture, suburban lawns, golf courses). The Reservation straddles the boundary of Brown and Outagamie Counties and includes all or portions of the City of Green Bay, Villages of Ashwaubenon and Howard, and the Towns of Hobart, Oneida and Pittsfield. Eleven additional municipalities rest within the watersheds flowing through the Reservation. All surface waters within the Oneida Reservation drain to the Great Lakes Basin (Lake Michigan). There are four separate surface water drainages, bearing numerous tributaries: 1) <u>Duck Creek River</u> - Fish Creek, Oneida Creek, Trout Creek, Lancaster Brook, Beaver Dam Creek, Silver Creek (Lower Green Bay Basin); 2) South Branch of the Suamico River (Upper Green Bay Basin); 3) Ashwaubenon Creek - North Branch, South Branch, Hemlock Creeks (Fox River Basin); and 4) Dutchman Creek (Fox River Basin)

Land use percentages surrounding the sites will be mapped this summer (2002), and the first formal biomonitoring report is being produced.

Metrics and Biocriteria Development

While the Oneida Nation does not have federally approved water quality standards, the Tribe is implementing a water quality program with bioassessment surveys under tribal law. The inclusion of biocriteria into the Tribe's WQS has been delayed due to urgent water resource issues that have come up, rather than lack of information. The appropriate metrics to accurately predict responses in benthic invertebrate communities for the area are fairly well proven at this time. The metrics currently being used (for streams) are the Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI), Taxa Richness, dominance, percent clingers and in some cases Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera (EPT) and E, P and T taken separately. The most common impacts are due to sedimentation and organic loading. Because of the limited number and type of streams within the Reservation, it is believed that the appropriate reference sites to represent all of the stream types have been selected. A final designation of these has not been made, nor are biocriteria being submitted for inclusion in the WQS until there is a chance to conduct more sampling of test sites to compare with the reference sites.

Documentation and Further Information

Personal communication (letter), James L. Snitgen, 1/2002.

Hard copies of documents including the Oneida Nation's WQS; SOPs for the Qualitative Sampling (#BI002) and Quantitative Sampling (#Bl003) of Streams for Benthic Invertebrates; Annual Water Resources Report (future reports will contain fish and macroinvertebrate data)

ONEIDA NATION OF WISCONSIN

ONEIDA NATION: Program Summary December 2002

Contact Information

James Snitgen, Water Resources Team Leader
Oneida Nation of Wisconsin, Environmental, Health and Safety Department
P.O. Box 365, 3759 W. Mason Street ■ Oneida, WI 54155
Phone 920/497-5812 ■ Fax 920/496-7883

email: jsnitgen@oneidanation.org

Programmatic Elements

Uses of bioassessment within overall water quality program	\frac{1}{1}	problem identification (screening) nonpoint source assessments monitoring the effectiveness of BMPs ALU determinations/ambient monitoring promulgated into state water quality standards as biocriteria support of antidegradation evaluation of discharge permit conditions TMDL assessment and monitoring other:
Applicable monitoring designs	✓ ✓	targeted (i.e., sites selected for specific purpose) fixed station (i.e., water quality monitoring stations) probabilistic by stream order/catchment area probabilistic by ecoregion, or statewide rotating basin other:

Stream Miles	
Total miles	233
Total perennial miles	-
Total miles assessed for biology	
fully supporting for 305(b)	n/a
partially/non-supporting for 305(b)	n/a
listed for 303(d)	n/a
number of sites sampled (in summer 2002)	41
number of miles assessed per site	~0.02 miles (25 meters)

Aquatic Life Use (ALU) Designations and Decision-Making*

ALU designation basis	Warm Water vs. Cold Water	
ALU designations in state water quality standards	Two designations: cold water ecosystems, warm water ecosystems	
Narrative Biocriteria in WQS	Inclusion of narrative and numeric biocriteria into the Tribe's WQS is under development, as is nutrient criteria. Tribal WQS include biological and water quality language but this does not constitute formal biocriteria.	
Numeric Biocriteria in WQS	see above	
Uses of bioassessment data in integrated assessments with other environmental data (e.g., toxicity testing and chemical specific criteria)	 ✓ assessment of aquatic resources ✓ cause and effect determinations permitted discharges ✓ monitoring (e.g., improvements after mitigation) ✓ watershed based management 	
Uses of bioassessment/ biocriteria in making management decisions regarding restoration of aquatic resources to a designated ALU	Macroinvertebrate community data were used to designate one stream as a cold water resource. RBPs were conducted following a stormwater spill.	

^{*}Water quality standards were federally approved in 1996 and then rescinded following a lawsuit.

Reference Site/Condition Development

Number of reference sites	4 total
Reference site determinations	site-specific
	paired watershed
	regional (aggregate of sites)
	✓ professional judgment (Qualitative data gathered initially on candidate reference sites. Most "pristine" of each stream type used as referencestill in early stages of determining all necessary reference sites)
	other:
Reference site criteria	water quality, benthic invertebrate community (Hilsenhoff Biotic Index), land use, physical habitat, geomorphology, qualitative benthos investigations
Characterization of reference sites within a regional context	historical conditions
	✓ least disturbed sites
	gradient response
	professional judgment
	other:
Stream stratification within regional reference conditions	ecoregions (or some aggregate)
	elevation
	stream type (all within Reservation/all in same ecoregion)
	multivariate grouping
	jurisdictional (i.e., statewide)
	other:
Additional information	UD reference sites linked to ALU
	UD reference sites/condition referenced in water quality standards
	✓ some reference sites represent acceptable human-induced conditions

Field and Lab Methods*

Assemblages assessed	✓	benthos (<100 samples per year; single season, multiple sites - broad coverage)	
	\	fish (<100 samples per year; multiple seasons, multiple sites - broad coverage for watershed level)	
		periphyton	
		other:	
Benthos			
sampling gear	Sur	Surber, D-frame, collect by hand; 500 micron mesh	
habitat selection	riffl	riffle/run (cobble)	
subsample size	300	300 count	
taxonomy	species		
Fish			
sampling gear	backpack electrofisher; 1/4" mesh		
habitat selection	previously established monitoring sites and/or sites suitable for long term monitoring		
sample processing	biomass - individual (identify and count)		
subsample	none		
taxonomy	species		
Habitat assessments	visu	ual based, quantitative measurements; performed with bioassessments	
Quality assurance program elements	standard operating procedures, periodic meetings and training for biologists, sorting and taxonomic proficiency checks, specimen archival		

^{*}The Oneida Nation has sampled fish for four years and began a macroinvertebrate program in 2001 using the RBP habitat rating score sheet. The Tribe's first herpetile survey is planned for summer 2002 to collect baseline data on two riverways and three wetlands. Oneida also plans to begin using macrophytes as indicators in wetlands.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Data analysis tools and methods	 ✓ summary tables, illustrative graphs ✓ parametric ANOVAs multivariate analysis ✓ biological metrics (aggregate metrics into an index and return single metrics) disturbance gradients other: 	
Multimetric thresholds		
transforming metrics into unitless scores	information not provided	
defining impairment in a multimetric index	information not provided	
Evaluation of performance characteristics	✓ repeat sampling	
	✓ precision (replicates)	
	sensitivity	
	bias	
	accuracy	
Biological data		
Storage	Macroinvertebrate data in Corel Quattro Pro; fish data in MS Access	
Retrieval and analysis	information not provided	